TAB

## Security Information

### PSICHOLOGICAL STRATEGY BOARD WASHINGTON, D. C.

MEHORANDUM

October 28, 1952

Tot

Steering Committee

From!

P - Edmond L. Taylor

Subject

Possible Implications of Stalin's Speech.

Fending expert analysis of Stelin's October 15 speech and other related Soviet intelligence, certain implications of the speech from the viewpoint of US psychological strategy seem sufficiently clear to justify at least preliminary consideration by the PSB staff, providing it can be assumed that Stalin's message constitutes authoritative guidance to all communist organizations, and that the English text does not conceal some esctoric meanings. The fellowing implications seem of greatest importance to usi

1. As long as the guidance laid down in the Stalin speech remains in force there will be no attempt to greate popular front movements in Burepe.

### Ressent

A popular front by definition involves the cooperation of beargeois parties and implies the muting of class-war appeals. Instead the speech calls for a reactivation of class-warfare and rules out the possibility of cooperation with the bourgeoisie. Even a united front with social-democratic parties seems to be exaluded, at least in Europe, because while the communists might be willing to overlook the fact that the socialist movement is largely under bourgeois leadership, the socialist leaders themselves could not accept the program of revolutionary struggle. Sealled for by Stalin.

22. The speech rules out the liklihood of a "back to Litvinoff" diplomatic and psychological strategy simed at splitting the Atlantic coalition by peace offensives, offers of general settlements, etc. On the other hand it permits end may fore-~ 3 Schadow - a "back to Chicherin" strategy characterized by non-Lacological bi-lateral negotiations with individual members of Western coalition. Germany and Japan are the obvious targets of the new Rapello strategy, but in the commercial field it might The majority information

□ 꽃

nined that CIA has no o It contains in interest that

determined | Col | Col

ŏ

Approved For Release 2000 19712 4 1980 01446R000100150003-8

### Approved For Release 2000/09/12 : CIA-RDP80-01446R000100150003-8

## Security Information

- 2 -

the UN for spectagular disarmament proposals and similar neo-liberal manifestations, but under this hypothesis they would not be simed at responsible Western policy-makers or even at influential opinion groups in the Western countries.

### Reasons

Apart from the internal and historic arguments against a return to the tone and pattern of Soviet diplomacy in the Litvinoff are, such a strategy would be pointless in the absence of a popular-front strategy at the mass level, and popular fronts cannot be areated for the reason indicated in Paragraph I. The Rapello—or Melotoff-Ribbentrep—pattern of diplomacy is perfectly compatible, however, with a program of tough aggressive nationalism and associatement of intensified class warfare in bourgeois countries, because it appeals to the short-term self-interest of dominant cliques in the target countries and does not require the pressure or support of public opinion. Conversely, in countries like France or the U.K. where public opinion is influential and basically anti-communist the Rapello approach either is not feasible or must be limited to the sconomic field so that it does not appear to imply a total recrientation of national pelicy.

Unless the speech is part of a major deception-plan, the Kramlin is not at present thinking in terms of general hot war, but localized persons of various types, flagrant enough to create a risk of Western military reactions as in Kerea, remain permissive, and an intensification of such limited aggression is probably foreshedowed. While the actions already launched in Indo-China and Korea will probably continue, the aggressive pattern favored for the future appears to be as follows: The 'fraternal party'-supported by the 'democratic', workers' and peasants' parties-of a given country will emulate their Russian comrades of a generation ago and attempt to seise power by force. The revolutioneries must not count on the Red Army to hard them victory on a silver platter—Stalin is brutally explicit on this point. If they show sufficient promise, however, the USER will "render them support and also support their peoples in their struggle for liberation". This, of course, will arouse the Western powers, but the USSR, counting on the new shock brigades' from China to Osechoslovakia, will be bolder in supporting revolution than it has considered prudent in the past ("Now matters are very different"). As an extra precaution against direct military retaliation, the fraternal parties everywhere outside the affected area, in accordence with the principle of 'mutual support' will organize vigorous and when pecessary violent 'hands off Russia' campaigns. Obviously this pattern can only

Secretary Information

## Secrety Information

- 3 -

be applied easily along the Seviet periphery, preferably the southern and southeastern periphery, with Iran as the most logical next victim and Iugoslavia as a possible candidate.

### Reasont

If deception is ruled out, emphasis on preparation for hot war seems to be excluded by emission. It was precisely the expectation of military attack which led to the popular front pelicy of the '30's. Similarly if Stelin expected general war in the near future it seems most unlikely that he would lay down a party line calculated to alientate liberal and pacifistic bourgeois elements whoserve in weakening the Western will-to-resist would be invaluable. Even the instructions to hard-core militants do not subordinate all other considerations to the defense of the USER as would be required in case of war. True, the Thorez-Toglietti dostrine of primary allegiance to the USSR is reaffirmed, and Stalin explicitly warns that it would be erroneous to suppose that the Party no longer needs the support of world communism. He does not elaborate on the need for support, however. Instead, he boasts about what the Russian party has achieved without help in the past, and there is an undertone of confident pride bordering on errogance in his decleration that the Russian party cannot be bted to the 'fraternal parties'. The same note is apparent in his sharp reminder to the members of these fraternal parties that their task today is incomparably easier than that which the early Russian Belsheviks laid upon themselves. All this supports the conclusion that in Stalin's eyes the primary current mission of the communist perties outside the Iron Curtain is neither preparation for hot war, por merely the kind of cold-war fifth-column activity with which larty and Tillon were particularly identified in France, but something else. What? Not popular fronts, diplomatic peace offensives, etc. What then? Simply to resume the original communist mission of organizing and supporting violent revolution. Neither socialism in one country, nor world-revolution all at ence, but world-revolution step-by-step, in country after country, beginning at the periphery and working out, with the more distant communist parties running interference for the surrent bell-carrier of the revolution while preparing their own.

4. While seeking to undermine the U.S. system of alliances by Rapalle methods as suggested in Paragraph 2, Seviet psychological strategy (in the broad sense) will rely heavily, if not predominantly, upon the pattern of indirect aggression by revolution postulated in Paragraph 4.

# Security Information

### Approved For Release 2000/09/12 : CIA-RDP80-01446R000100150003-8

## Security Information

- 4 -

### Reasont

largely corollary to the conclusions of Paragraph 4, but reenferced by the emphasis on the revolutionary theme of personal freedom and national independence in the speech.

5. This revolutionary strategy will probably be mainly directed at—and in any case have its greatest effect upon—the Asian countries, especially those of the southern periphery, and Africa, with Latin America as a significant secondary target. A negative exception may be Japan, which offers a promising target for the Rapalle approach. A positive exception, of great mement to us, might be Jugoslavia. If the hypothesis is correct as to the pattern and main direction of the Seviet psychological attack, local revolutionary activity will probably be supported by great emphasis in the UN and other international formus on enti-colonial themes, anti-racialism, defense of human rights, etc.

### Resoutt

With the possible exception of Jugoslavia, no European country seems highly vulnerable at this time to revolutionary attack. The new line should strengthen the communist position in France and Italy, but not decisively. The under-developed areas, particularly in Asia, are on the contrary highly volnerable to the revelutionary strategy postulated, and decisive results may be achieved in these areas with a minimum of risk. The long-term merace to India, which would be a major prize in the world struggle, seems particularly acute. This deductive argument is strengthened and clarified by another chain of reasoning from the promise in the speech to support 'all Communist, demogratic, worker's or peasants' parties' which have not yet come to power and which continue to work under the heel of Draconic bourgeois laws?. In Surope this formula has little relevance. Almost certainly it does not include the socialists—except for a few left wingers of the Mount type-and there remain only a few unimportant splinter-groups, most of which are violently anti-Stalinist. Yet the frequent references in the speech to revolutionary elements other than orthodox Stalinists does not seem to be purely rhetorical. There is no reason why it should be if one keeps Asia and Africa in mind. In most of the Asian and African countries or territories, organized Stalinian is surrounded by numerically larger groups with a vaguely Marxist but fiercely nationalist and frequently anti-white orientation. In terms of Stalinist degma many of these groups are given over to the blackest forms of heresy, but their organizational ties with international Trotakism, Titoism, etc. are elight. Therefore they can be accepted as revolutionary allies, and in

## Bearity Information

## Security Information

- 5 -

some countries they can be very useful allies. Probably the Tadeh party in Iran represents the Kremlin's ideal of a happy association of Stalinist and non-Stalinist revolutionary elements, but we should not be too surprised if many of General Neguib's followers are discovered by the communists to be solid democrats, or even to see the North African nationalist leaders classified as non-bourgeois elements.

As to the possibility that Yugoslavia might be judged a major target, while it seems inconceivable that Tite himself could ever be accepted back into the fold, it is undeniable that most of his followers are workers or peasants, even if they are not democrats in the eyes of the Kremlin. And if, as postulated, the Communist line gives the appearance of veering back to Leminism, at least in its entermil pelicies, is it impossible that this might have some influence on men who like to think of themselves as true Leminists?

6. In Western Burope no basic change in communist activity is likely but the communist parties will be freed to some extent from unpopular fifth-column duties and vill give greater emphasis to mass agitation in support of working class interests. Propaganda will be noticeably mere idealistic (in proletarian terms) harking back to some of the successful themes of the '20's. U.S. imperialism and war-mongering will continue to be heavily exploited but agitation in support of these themes will be less artificial and more opertunistic in terms of local conditions. Farty morale and popularity should gradually rise. Anti-communism is likely to increase among center and right-wing epinion groups as the class-warfare theme is developed more intensively by the communists. At the same time liberals and socialists will become more apprehensive about being outflanked by the communists on pepular issues such as wage and price legislation, human rights, anti-militarism, etc. Comlition groups will be more difficult to erganise and hold together. Left-wing anti-communist intellectuals new supporting U.S. objectives will become increasingly restless. Assept where other factors intervene, European governments will tend to become more cooperative with U.S. but our appeal to the masses will tend to decline.

### Reasons

Largely corollary to Faragraphs 3, 4, and 5.

ee: D/Y - Mr. Morgan

G - Mr. Sherman

R - Dr. Graig

ee: E/E - Mr. Johnson

D/B - Mr. Browne

P - Mr. Taylor

## Security Information

P:Edayler:09 10/28/52